INDEX

VOLUME 19

Academic Quality Improvement Program, 5:3
Accountability, 2:6, 7
Accountability of student affairs services and programs, 4:9
Accreditation, 1:11–13; 2:3
Accreditation Review Commission on Education for the Physician Assistant, 3:10
ACT College Outcome Measures Project, 1:2
ACT Collegiate Assessment of Academic Proficiency, 5:7
ACT scores, 5:12, 13
ACT Student Opinion Survey, 5:7
Active citizenship, 3:1
Administrative and support services, benchmarking process for, 5:7–9, 16
Administrative outcomes, 5:8
Affair Awareness, Usage, and Satisfaction Survey, 4:9–11
Allen, J., 2:3, 5
Aloi, S. L., 2:3, 7
American Assn. of State Colleges and Universities, 1:1, 15; 2:3; 6:3, 4
American College Personnel Assn., 2:7, 9
Anderson, L. W., 4:4, 5
Anderson, V. J., 6:14, 15
Angelo, T. A., 6:12, 16
Assessment activity, 2:3–4
Assessment costs, 2:12
Assessment council, 2:7
Assessment culture change, 6:1–2, 14–15
Assessment cycle, 4:7
Assessment findings, use of, 4:16
Assessment guide, 4:16
Assessment handbook, 4:7–8
Assessment initiative by faculty, 6:1–2, 14–15
Assessment initiatives, 2:8
Assessment Institute, 6:1
Assessment Interest Group, 1:3
Assessment measures, 2:12–14; 4:9–11
Assessment of assessment process, 4:6–8
Assessment of student success, 5:1
Assessment plan, 4:6–7
Assessment plan implementation, 4:3–5
Assessment resources, 2:12
Assessment spiral, 2:1–2, 15
Assn. for Institutional Research, 1:13
Assn. of American Universities, 6:3
Assn. of Collegiate Business Schools and Programs, 3:10
Assn. of Specialized and Professional Accreditors, 3:10, 11
Assn. to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business, 3:10
Astin, A. W., 4:15; 5:10, 11, 12

Bacow, L. S., 3:1
Bain, K., 6:8
Baird, L., 1:2, 14, 15
Banta, T. W., 1:1, 15; 2:3; 3:1; 4:4, 5; 6:3
Beil, C., 6:6
Benchmark categories, 3:4
Benchmarking capability, 5:1
Benchmarking data, 2:5
Benchmarking in community colleges, 5:1–3, 14–15
Benchmarking process for administrative and support services, 5:7–9, 16
Benchmarks for student learning, 4:1–2, 12–13
Bennion, D. H., 6:15
Bereiter, C., 1:14, 15
Blinn College, 6:16
Bloom's Taxonomy, 4:4; 6:14
Boyer, E. J., 6:10, 11
Boyer, E. L., 3:1, 16
Braun, H., 1:14
Bresciani, M. J., 6:5
Bringle, R., 3:14, 16

Calderon, T. G., 1:13
California State Univ. Monterey Bay, 1:5
Carini, R. M., 3:3, 5
Carless, D., 3:8
Cendana, P., 4:3
Center for Information and Research on Civic Learning and Engagement's Young Citizens Survey, 3:14
Central measure with multiple applications, 4:9–11
Central Piedmont Community College, 5:3, 7, 8, 16
Change measurement, 5:10–13
Change scores, 5:10–13
Checkoway, B., 3:1, 16
Chickering, A. W., 6:12, 16
Chun, M., 2:5, 6
Civic and Political Activities and Attitudes Survey, 3:14
Civic Attitude and Skills Questionnaire, 3:14
Civic engagement assessment, 3:1–2, 14–16
Coker College, 6:16
Collaborative outreach, 1:6–8
College of William and Mary, 4:14–15
College president, role in modeling assessment, 1:9–10, 16
Collegiate Assessment of Academic Proficiency, 6:4
Collegiate Learning Assessment, 1:14; 4:15; 5:10, 12, 13; 6:4, 5
Colorado School of Mines, 1:13
Commission on Colleges, Southern Assn. of Colleges and Schools, 5:7, 16
Commission on the Future of Higher Education, 1:1, 11; 2:3; 5:10
Common assessment language, 4:6, 7–8
Community College Survey of Student Engagement, 5:3, 4–6, 7
Community colleges, benchmarking in, 5:1–3, 14–15
Community Service Self-Efficacy Scale, 3:14
Comparisons of institutional outcome measures, 4:15
Cordero de Noriega, D., 1:5
Council for Aid to Education, 6:4
Council for Higher Education Accreditation, 3:9
Council of Regional Accrediting Commissions, 3:9
Council on Higher Education Accreditation, 1:11
CPS Scholars Program, 3:2, 14, 15
Creation of benchmarking process, 5:7–9, 16
Cronbach, L., 1:14, 15; 5:10, 12
Cross, P. K., 6:12, 16
Cross-institutional outcomes, 5:8–9
Culture of assessment, 2:7–9, 15
Curtis-Tweed, P., 6:9
Customer satisfaction, 4:10, 11; 5:8

Data, use in making change, 5:4–6
Davenport, C., 3:9, 10, 11
Diaz, A., 3:1
Diez, M. E., 6:16
Diiorio, S., 1:5
Direct assessment measures, 4:4
Direct measures of learning, 4:4
Directorate for Education of the Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development, 6:3
Disproportionate stratified sampling, 2:13–14
Doherty, K., 3:3
Dolinsky, B., 6:9
Driscoll, A., 1:5
Dwyer, C. A., 1:15; 2:3, 4

Eastern Michigan Univ., 6:15
Eck, J. C., 3:6
Edge, H., 3:6
Educational Benchmarking Incorporated, 3:7
Educational innovation, 1:11
Educational Testing Service, 1:1, 2, 14, 15; 2:3; 5:7; 6:4
English as Second Language programs, 2:3–4, 10–12
Erwin, T. D., 4:9, 11
Eubanks, D., 6:16
Eugene Applebaum College of Pharmacy and Health Sciences, 1:3, 4
Evenbeck, S. E., 6:9
Ewell, P. T., 1:11; 3:9, 10, 11; 4:14; 5:10, 12
Exit Survey, 1:3, 4
External standards-based benchmark, 4:1

Faculty buy-in of assessment, 6:10–11
Faculty development, 1:6–8
Federal funding, and accreditation, 1:11
Feedback loop, 6:7–8, 12–13, 16
Feedback loop, closing, 2:1–2, 15
Finney, M. F., 3:9
First Professional Year Survey, 1:3, 4
First-year experience programs, assessment and, 6:9–11
Foundations of Excellence in the First College Year project, 6:9, 10
Fox Garrity, B.K., 3:9
Freed, J. E., 4:3, 5
Fulcher, K. H., 5:10, 12
Furby, L., 1:14, 15; 5:10, 12
Furco, A., 3:14

Gain scores, 1:2; 5:10, 12
Gallaudet Univ., 4:2
Gamson, Z. F., 6:12, 16
Gansemer-Topf, A., 3:3, 5
Ganter, S., 2:15
Garcia, G., 1:4, 5
General education, assessment of student learning, 6:5, 16
General education competence, 5:7
General education outcomes, 2:12
George Washington Univ., 6:6–8
Giles, D., 3:14
Green, A. S., 2:7
Greenfield, G. M., 6:9
Guam Community College, 1:9
Guglielmino, L. M., 1:4, 5
Guglielmino Self-Directed Learning Readiness Scale, 1:4

Hagerty, B., 3:9, 11
Harkavy, I., 3:1, 16
Harris, C. W., 1:15
Henry, R. J., 2:9
Higher Education Act, 3:9
Higher Learning Commission, 1:11
Higher Learning Commission of the North Central Assn. of Colleges and Schools, 5:3
Hong Kong Institute of Education, 3:8
Hoover, E., 6:8
Huba, M. E., 4:3, 5
Hudson, M., 3:14
Hunt-Bull, N., 6:1, 4, 15

Ilk, O., 6:12
Indiana Univ.-Purdue Univ. Indianapolis, 2:10
Initial assessment, 2:5–6
Input measures, 2:4; 5:2
Institutional values, statements of, 2:5–6
Instructional effectiveness, assessment of, 2:10–12
Integration of assessment across units, 2:7–9, 15
International Assembly for Collegiate Business Education, 3:10
Internet-based surveys, 1:4
Introductory assessment, 2:5–6

Janac, L., 6:16
Johnson County Community College, 5:3
Jones, E. A., 2:7, 4:3
Joughin, G., 3:8
Judd, T. B., 3:12

Kahn, S., 1:5; 2:9; 3:8; 4:16; 6:5, 16
Kansas Study of Community College Instructional Costs and Productivity, 5:1–2, 3, 14–15
Keith, B., 3:12
Kemp, J., 6:14, 15
Klein, S. P., 3:3, 5
Knight, M. A., 6:6
Kuh, G.D., 3:3, 5

Landgraf, K., 1:14
Leadership by example, 1:9–10, 16

Learning goals, 6:14
Learning objectives, 6:14
Learning-oriented assessment, 3:8
Learning-Oriented Assessment Project, 3:8
Learning outcomes, 2:7, 3:3
Licensed profession, 3:9–11
Life sciences and environment, 3:1
Liu, N.-F., 3:8
Living-learning communities, 3:6–8
Local standards-based benchmark, 4:1
Lord, F.M., 1:15
Lumina Foundation, 5:4

McCullough, C. A., 4:3
McCune, S. K., 1:4, 5
McTighe, J., 6:15
Madison, B., 1:13, 16; 2:15
Maki, P.L., 4:3, 4, 5
Manning, T., 5:3, 7
Martell, K., 1:13
Marzano, R. J., 6:13, 16
Math, student proficiency assessment, 3:12–13
Math and Science Goal Team, 3:13
Mathematical Assn. of America, 3:12
Matthews, R. S., 6:9
Measure of Academic Proficiency and Progress, 5:7; 6:4
Measurement of change, 1:1–2, 14–15
Measurement of general education programs, 5:1
Mentkowski, M., 6:16
Mercator Research Group, 1:4
Middle East Technical Univ., 6:16
Middle States Assn. of Colleges and Schools, 3:3, 4, 9
Miller, C., 4:14
Miller, T. K., 4:9, 11
Millett, C. M., 1:15; 2:3, 4
Mission statement, 2:5, 8; 6:14
Mitchell, R., 2:15
Montclair State Univ., 1:13
Morrison, G. R., 6:14, 15
Muffo, J. A., 1:13
Musun, L., 4:6

Nashville State Technical Community College, 5:1, 3, 14, 15
National Advisory Committee on Institutional Quality and Integrity, 1:11, 12, 13
National Assn. of Independent Colleges and Universities, 6:3
National Assn. of State Universities and Land-Grant Colleges, 2:3; 6:3, 4
National Assn. of Student Personnel Administrators and American College Personnel Assn., 2:9
National Center for Education Statistics, 2:14
National Center for Higher Education Management Systems, 1:11
National Center on Education Statistics, 2:3
National Community College Benchmark Project, 5:1–2, 3, 14–15
National Council for the Accreditation of Teacher Education, 1:12
National Council on Measurement in Education, 5:13
National Postsecondary Education Cooperative, 2:3
National Survey of Student Engagement, 3:3–5, 7; 5:7; 6:9
Noel-Levitz Student Satisfaction Inventory, 5:7
Nonlicensed profession, 3:9–11
North Central Assn. of Colleges and Schools, 1:11
Northwestern Arizona Univ., 1:6

O'Brien Hokanson, R., 6:16
Office of Institutional Research and Evaluation, 3:1, 2
Ohio State Univ., 4:9, 11
O'Leary, L. S., 3:1
Outcome measures, 2:2, 4
Outcomes assessment, 2:12; 5:7; 6:11
Overall, J. E., 5:11, 12

Packey, H. M., 6:1, 4, 14
Paradis, T. W., 1:6
Payne, D. G., 1:15; 2:3, 4
Peer comparisons, 5:3, 14, 15
Peer-referenced benchmark, 4:2, 12
Pell grant, 1:11
Performance metrics, 5:9
Peterson, D., 3:9, 11
Pew's Civic and Political Health of a Nation, 3:14
Phillips, L., 6:16
Phillips, M. A., 3:14
Pickering, D. J., 6:13, 16
Pike, G. R., 1:1, 2, 14, 15; 2:12; 4:12; 5:10, 12, 13; 6:4
Placement tools, 2:10
Policy implications based from analysis of survey results, 4:11
Pollack, J. E., 6:13, 16
Post-Spellings discourse, 4:15
Pre-post testing, 4:15
President's Performance Appraisal Survey, 1:9
Program assessment, 4:9–11
Programmatic effectiveness, assessment of, 2:10–12
Provost's Assessment Advisory Group, 4:6, 8
Public disclosure, 1:11–12
Public Service Motivation Scale, 3:14

Qualitative data, 3:14, 15
Quality Enhancement Program, 5:3
Quality in Undergraduate Education, 2:9, 15
Quantitative data, 3:14; 5:4
Question and comment paper, 6:12–13, 16
Questionnaires, 1:3–5, 9

Random sampling, 2:13
Regional accreditation, 1:11–13
Reporting redundancy, 4:6–7
Residual scores, 1:2, 14
Resources for assessment, 6:11
Reynolds, C., 5:3
Rollins College, 3:6–8
Rollins College Conference, 3:6–7
Ross, S. M., 6:14, 15

Samples in assessment research, 2:12–14
Sanoff, A. P., 6:7, 8
Santa Fe Community College, 5:3, 4–6
SAT scores, 2:4; 5:12–13
Satisfaction assessments, 2:7
Saunders, K., 3:3, 5
Schuh, J. H., 3:3, 5; 4:9, 11
Science, student proficiency assessment, 3:12–13
Selection of benchmarking process, 5:9, 16
Seybert, J. A., 5:3
Shelley, M., 3:3, 5
Six Sigma methodology, 5:7
Slaughter, R. L., 1:3
Smalldon, K. L., 1:6
SNAP Survey Software, 1:4
Social Responsibility Inventory, 3:14
Somera, R.R.D., 1:9
Southern Assn. of Colleges and Schools, 5:3
Southern New Hampshire Univ., 6:1, 14
Specialized accreditation standards and outcomes, 3:9–11
Spellings, M., 1:1; 2:3
Spellings commission, 1:12; 4:14; 5:12
Standardized methods for assessment of learning outcomes, 6:3–5
Standardized testing in higher education, 4:14
Standardized tests of generic skills, 2:3–4
Stark, J., 3:9, 11
State Council on Higher Education in Virginia, 4:14
State-mandated assessment, 4:14–15
Statements of institutional values, 2:5–6
Statistical software packages, 2:14
Stephenson, K., 3:6
Strategic initiatives, 3:3–5, 4
Stratification, sampling technique, 2:13–14
Streamlining of assessment processes, 4:6–8
Student activities and attitudes, 3:1–2, 14–16
Student Affairs Assessment Council, 2:8
Student affairs profession, 2:7–9, 15
Student-centered assessment, 4:9
Student engagement, 3:3–5; 5:4
Student engagement types, 3:6–8
Student learning assessment, 2:7, 8
Student learning outcomes, 1:11; 5:7
Student satisfaction, 2:7; 3:3; 5:7
Survey of high school writing experiences, 6:6–7
Suskie, L., 4:1, 16
Syllabus, 6:14, 15

Talent development, 4:15; 5:10
Talley, S.A., 1:3
Tennessee Higher Education Commission, 6:5
Terkla, D. G., 3:1
Thorndike, R. L., 1:14, 15
Tisch College, 3:1, 2
Tisch College Participant Survey, 3:14
Towson Univ., 3:3, 4
TracDat, 1:9
Transfer students, 5:14–15
Traub, R. E., 1:14, 15
True score, 5:10
Tufts Univ., 3:1, 2, 14

Univ. of Akron, 1:13
Univ. of Arkansas, 1:16
Univ. of Arkansas at Little Rock, 4:6, 7
Univ. of Technology, Sydney, 3:8
Univ. of Tennessee, Knoxville, 1:2; 2:3
Univ. of Virginia, 4:14
Upcraft, M. L., 4:9, 11
Upton, T. A., 2:3, 10
U.S. Coast Guard Academy, 1:13
U.S. Dept. of Education, 1:11, 12, 15; 2:3, 4; 4:2; 5:10, 12
U.S. Military Academy, 1:13; 3:12
U.S. Secretary of Education's Commission of the Future of Higher Education, 3:11
U.S. Secretary of Education's Commission on the Future of Higher Education, 3:9, 10

Value added, 2:3, 4; 5:10–13
Value added assessment, 1:1–2, 14–15; 4:14–15
Value-added benchmark, 4:12
Value Added Consortium, 4:15
Value of benchmarking, 5:2
Virginia, state-mandated assessment in, 4:14–15
Voluntary System of Accountability, 6:3, 4

Walvoord, B. E., 6:14, 15
Wang, X., 4:9
Warren, J., 1:1, 15
Wayne State Univ., 1:3, 4
Weed, E. J., 5:1, 3
Wehlburg, C. M., 2:1, 3, 4
Weiss, R. P., 6:13, 16
Wenger, E., 6:9, 11
Wergin, J., 3:9, 11
West Virginia Assn. of Student Personnel Administrators, 2:9
West Virginia Univ., 4:3
Western Assn. of Schools and Colleges, 1:5
Wharton, B. L., 4:9
Whittlesey, V., 3:9, 11
Whitworth, P. E., 4:9
Widener Univ., 2:6
Wiggins, G., 6:15
Williams, R. H., 5:11, 12
Willse, J. T., 5:10, 12
Wilson, N. E., 3:1
Winston, R. B. Jr., 4:9, 11
Woodward, J. A., 5:11, 12
Workshops informing about assessment, 6:1–2
Writing, college and high school, 6:6–8

Zimmerman, D. W., 5:11, 12
Zumbo, B. D., 5:10, 11, 12